

PARABOLIC SYMMETRIC SPACES

LENKA ZALABOVÁ

This paper is dedicated to Peter Michor on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

ABSTRACT. We study here systems of symmetries on $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries. We are interested in smooth systems of symmetries and we discuss non-flat homogeneous $|1|$ -graded geometries. We show the existence of an invariant admissible affine connection under quite weak condition on the system.

Affine symmetric spaces are well known objects in differential geometry. One can find classical description of them for instance in [12] or [13]. Let us sketch here briefly this classical concept: Let M be a manifold with an affine connection ∇ . A *symmetry* at x is a (globally defined) diffeomorphism s_x of M such that $s_x(x) = x$, $T_x s_x = -\text{id}$ on $T_x M$ and s_x is an affine transformation of ∇ . Equivalently, a symmetry at x is an involutive affine transformation with isolated fixed point x . Clearly, there can exist at most one symmetry s_x at each x on a manifold M with a connection ∇ and a pair (M, ∇) is called *symmetric space*, if there exists a symmetry at each $x \in M$. Each symmetric space is homogeneous because the group of affine transformations (involving symmetries) acts transitively on M . Let us point out here that on each symmetric space (M, ∇) , uniqueness of symmetries implies that $s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x = s_{s_x(y)}$ holds for each $x, y \in M$ and in fact, we can describe the (uniquely given) system of symmetries as a smooth multiplication $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $(x, y) \mapsto s_x(y)$.

Following [16, 15], one can also define a symmetric space algebraically as the following structure: A *symmetric space* is a manifold M together with a smooth multiplication $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ with the following four properties: $s_x(x) = x$, $s_x \circ s_x(y) = y$, $s_x \circ s_y(z) = s_{s_x(y)} \circ s_x(z)$ and every x has a neighborhood such that $s_x(y) = y$ implies $y = x$ for each y from the neighborhood. Surprisingly, this definition is equivalent with the latter description. Really, in [16] O. Loos proved that each symmetric space (M, S) admits a unique affine connection ∇ which is invariant with respect to all symmetries s_x . Hence the structure (M, S) generates a unique affine symmetric space (M, ∇) . This point of view of symmetric spaces is the most interesting for us. Let us remark that, instead of the fourth property in the definition, we can suppose $T_x s_x = -\text{id}$ on $T_x M$, which makes the definition by O. Loos more compatible with our basic definitions, see 2.1.

In this article, we discuss systems of symmetries which instead of an affine connection preserve a $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometry. These form a rich family of geometries which involves well known examples like conformal or projective structures. Following the classical point of view, we define a symmetry on a $|1|$ -graded geometry as a morphism satisfying $s_x(x) = x$ and $T_x s_x = -\text{id}$ on $T_x M$ and we

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53A40, 53C05, 53C35.

Key words and phrases. Cartan geometries, parabolic geometries, $|1|$ -graded geometries, Weyl structures, symmetric spaces.

This research has been supported at different times by the ESI Junior Research Fellowships program of The Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics and by the Eduard Čech Center for Algebra and Geometry, project nr. LC505. The author acknowledges very useful discussions with Andreas Čap during the work on this paper.

require that the symmetry is a morphism of the $|1|$ -graded geometry and thus preserves the corresponding geometric structure (e.g. conformal or projective). In this definition, we do not assume it is an affine transformation.

Contrary to the affine case, there can exist many different symmetries at one point on a $|1|$ -graded geometry. It comes from the fact that parabolic geometries are structures of second order and our definition of the symmetry prescribes only 1-jet of the morphism, see [22, 23]. Consequently, there can exist various systems $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ of symmetries on a $|1|$ -graded geometry and such a system S does not necessarily carry an invariant affine connection.

In this article, we look for conditions under which S is a system of affine transformations of a suitable admissible affine connection. The main motivation for us is the article [17], where the author discusses the projective case in the classical setup. The general theory of parabolic geometries allows us to discuss all $|1|$ -graded geometries in a uniform way. More precisely, we use the theory of Weyl structures (see [6, 7]) to find an invariant connection with properties as above under quite weak conditions on the system S . We will see that the conditions from the Loos' algebraic definition of a symmetric space are crucial here. Our first condition on the system S is its smoothness. Contrary to the affine symmetric spaces, there can exist non-smooth systems of symmetries for general $|1|$ -graded geometries. Assuming the smoothness of S , we concentrate on non-flat homogeneous $|1|$ -graded geometries. The second and last condition we need is exactly the condition $s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x = s_{s_x(y)}$ from the Loos' definition. We show that if the two conditions on S are satisfied, then the $|1|$ -graded geometry reduces to an affine symmetric space (for the system S). We also show that in many cases, these conditions are trivially satisfied and then there are no other examples than the affine symmetric spaces.

1. $|1|$ -GRADED PARABOLIC GEOMETRIES

In this introductory section, we remind roughly basic definitions and facts on Cartan and parabolic geometries. In this paper, we follow concepts and notation of [6, 7] and the reader can find all details therein. We are mainly interested in $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries. The complete list of them can be found in [6, 22].

1.1. Parabolic geometries. Let G be a Lie group, $P \subset G$ its Lie subgroup, and denote by $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ corresponding Lie algebras. A *Cartan geometry* of type (G, P) on a smooth manifold M is a pair $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ consisting of a principal P -bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ and of a one-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$, called the *Cartan connection*, which is P -equivariant, reproduces generators of fundamental vector fields and induces a linear isomorphism $T_u \mathcal{G} \cong \mathfrak{g}$ for each $u \in \mathcal{G}$. The P -bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow G/P$ together with the (left) Maurer-Cartan form $\omega_G \in \Omega^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$ forms a Cartan geometry of type (G, P) which is called *homogeneous model* or *flat model*.

A *morphism* between Cartan geometries of type (G, P) from $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ to $(\mathcal{G}' \rightarrow M', \omega')$ is a P -bundle morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ such that $\varphi^* \omega' = \omega$. Further we denote the base morphism of φ by $\underline{\varphi} : M \rightarrow M'$. For simplicity, we suppose that the maximal normal subgroup K of G which is contained in P is trivial. With this assumption, there is one to one correspondence between the morphisms φ and their base morphisms $\underline{\varphi}$. Let us remark that K is called *kernel* and geometries with trivial kernel are called *effective*. If the geometry has non-trivial kernel, one can pass to the quotients $P/K \subset G/K$.

We are mainly interested in automorphisms of Cartan geometries. It can be proved that the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ of $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ with M connected is a Lie group of dimension at most $\dim(G)$. In particular, the automorphism group of connected components of the homogeneous model $(G \rightarrow G/P, \omega_G)$ is exactly G . See [18, 7] for proofs. Considering these facts we suppose that M is connected.

A *parabolic geometry* is a Cartan geometry of type (G, P) for a semisimple Lie group G and its parabolic subgroup P . The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie group G is then equipped (up to the choice of Levi factor $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in $\mathfrak{p}$) with a grading of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ such that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of P is $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$. We suppose that the grading of $\mathfrak{g}$ is fixed. By G_0 we denote the subgroup in P , with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$, consisting of all elements in P whose adjoint action preserves the grading of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let us remark that P is exactly the subgroup of elements of G which preserve the usual filtration $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-k} \supset \mathfrak{g}^{-k+1} \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}^k = \mathfrak{g}_k$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by the grading, so $\mathfrak{g}^i = \mathfrak{g}_i \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$. A parabolic geometry corresponding to a grading of a length k is called $|k|$ -graded. We are mainly interested in $|1|$ -graded geometries and we formulate most of facts only for them.

The *curvature* of a Cartan geometry is defined as $K := d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$, which is a strictly horizontal and P -equivariant two-form on $\mathcal{G}$ with values in $\mathfrak{g}$. It is fully described by a P -equivariant mapping $\kappa : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, the so-called *curvature function*. If its values are in $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})^* \otimes \mathfrak{p}$, we call the geometry *torsion-free*. Notice that the Maurer–Cartan equation implies that the curvature of a homogeneous model vanishes. It can be proved that if the curvature of a Cartan geometry vanishes, then it is locally isomorphic to the homogeneous model of the same type, see [18, 7].

For parabolic geometries, there is a notion on the normalization condition on the curvature. The $|1|$ -graded geometry is called *normal* if $\partial^* \circ \kappa = 0$, where $\partial^* : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is given on decomposable elements by $\partial^*(X \wedge Y \otimes Z) = -Y \otimes [X, Z] + X \otimes [Y, Z]$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let us remind that for each $|1|$ -graded geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$, there is a first order G -structure with a structure group G_0 underlying this geometry: The exponential mapping defines a diffeomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}_1$ onto a closed subgroup $P_+ := \exp \mathfrak{g}_1$ of P and in fact, P is a semidirect product of G_0 and the normal subgroup P_+ , see [7]. Because P and P_+ act freely on $\mathcal{G}$, we can form an orbit space $\mathcal{G}_0 := \mathcal{G}/P_+$, which is a principal bundle $p_0 : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow M$ with a structure group $P/P_+ = G_0$. This is the reduction of a structure group of the frame bundle $\mathcal{P} := Gl(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, TM)$ of TM to the group G_0 with respect to the homomorphism $\text{Ad} : G_0 \rightarrow Gl(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$. (This is an isomorphism in the projective case, so $\mathcal{G}_0$ is the full frame bundle in this case. The whole structure is given by the choice of a class of equivalent connections). Conversely, it can be proved the following fact, see [7, 8] for details.

Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow M$ be a reduction of the (first order) frame bundle $\mathcal{P}$ to the structure group G_0 . Then there is a normal $|1|$ -graded geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ of a suitable type inducing the given data.*

Except for the projective structures, the $|1|$ -graded normal geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ is unique up to an isomorphism and thus there is the equivalence of the categories. In the projective case, there is an equivalence of categories between normal $|1|$ -graded geometries of projective type and underlying frame bundles together with a class of projective equivalent torsion-free connections.

1.2. Weyl structures and connections. Let $(p : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ be a $|1|$ -graded geometry of a type (G, P) and let $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}/P_+$ be the underlying bundle as above. We have the principal bundle $p_0 : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow M$ with structure group G_0 and the principal bundle $\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ with structure group P_+ . A *Weyl structure* is a global smooth G_0 -equivariant section $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of the projection π . For arbitrary $|1|$ -graded geometries, Weyl structures always exist and any two Weyl structures σ and $\hat{\sigma}$ differ by a G_0 -equivariant mapping $\Upsilon : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $\hat{\sigma}(u) = \sigma(u) \cdot \exp \Upsilon(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Since Υ gives the frame form of a 1-form on M , all Weyl structures form an affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(M)$.

In fact, any Weyl structure provides a reduction of the principal bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ to the subgroup $G_0 \subset P$. Given a Weyl structure σ , we can form the pullback $\sigma^*\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g})$. This decomposes as $\sigma^*\omega = \sigma^*\omega_{-1} + \sigma^*\omega_0 + \sigma^*\omega_1$ and $\sigma^*\omega_{-1}$ is exactly the soldering form. The part $\sigma^*\omega_0 \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ defines a principal connection on $p_0 : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow M$ which we call a *Weyl connection*. Moreover, this principal connection induces connections on all associated bundles. In particular, we get a class of preferred affine connections on the tangent bundle characterized by the property that they share the same ∂^* -closed torsion. We call each such connection a Weyl connection, too, and we denote it by ∇ . The positive part $\sigma^*\omega_1$ is called *Rho-tensor* and is denoted by P .

There are many formulas for the change of Weyl connections and related objects corresponding to the choice of various Weyl structures, which are analogous to the well known formulas from the conformal geometry. For instance, the Rho-tensor transforms as $\hat{P}(\xi) = P(\xi) + \nabla_\xi \Upsilon + \frac{1}{2}[\Upsilon, [\Upsilon, \xi]]$. We will not need most of them explicitly, the reader can find them in [7, 4, 3].

At the same time, the choice of σ defines a decomposition of the curvature $\sigma^*\kappa = T + W + Y$ according to the values in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}$. The lowest part T of the decomposition corresponds to the torsion of ∇ . It does not depend on the choice of the Weyl structure and thus it is an invariant of the parabolic geometry. In fact, it coincides with the Cartan torsion. The part W is called *Weyl curvature*. It can be written via the Lie algebra differential ∂ as $W = R + \partial P$, where R is the curvature of ∇ , see [7, 3] for details. If the torsion of the geometry vanishes, then the Weyl curvature is independent of the choice of the Weyl structure and is an invariant of the parabolic geometry. The positive part Y is called *Cotton-York tensor*.

1.3. Normal Weyl structures. Among general Weyl structures, there are various specific subclasses. From our point of view, the most interesting are so called normal Weyl structures. Denote flows of constant vector fields $\omega^{-1}(X) \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{G})$ as $\text{Fl}_t^{\omega^{-1}(X)}(u)$. We can define a *normal Weyl structure* at u as the only G_0 -equivariant section $\sigma_u : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with the property $\sigma_u \circ \pi \circ \text{Fl}_1^{\omega^{-1}(X)}(u) = \text{Fl}_1^{\omega^{-1}(X)}(u)$. Although the normal Weyl structure is indexed by $u \in \mathcal{G}$, it clearly depends only on the G_0 -orbit of u . In general, normal Weyl structures are defined locally over some neighborhood of $p(u) =: x$. They are closely related to the normal coordinate systems for parabolic geometries and generalize the affine normal coordinate systems, see [7, 9]. There is also another useful characterization of normal Weyl structures: The Rho-tensor P of the normal Weyl structure at u has the property that for corresponding Weyl connection ∇ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the symmetrization of $(\nabla_{\xi_k} \dots \nabla_{\xi_1} P)(\xi_0)$ over all $\xi_i \in TM$ vanishes at x . In particular, $P(x) = 0$, see [7, 6] for proofs.

2. BASIC FACTS ON SYMMETRIES

In this section, we remind the definition and summarize quickly properties of symmetries on $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries. For a complete discussion and proofs see [20, 23] and references therein.

2.1. Definitions. Let $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ be a $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometry of a type (G, P) . A *symmetry* centered at x is a diffeomorphism s_x of M such that:

- (i) $s_x(x) = x$,
- (ii) $T_x s_x = -\text{id}$ on $T_x M$,
- (iii) s_x is covered by an automorphism φ_x of the parabolic geometry.

We call the parabolic geometry *symmetric* if there is a symmetry at each point $x \in M$. It can be proved that each s_x is involutive and x is its isolated fixed point, see [23]. Under the assumption that the geometry is effective, the (uniquely given) covering φ_x of s_x is also involutive.

From the usual point of view, the definition above reflects the classical notion of affine symmetries. The first two properties simply say that our symmetries follow the classical intuitive idea. The third one means that the latter symmetries respect the underlying geometrical structure given by the $|1|$ -graded geometry.

2.2. Flat models. The simplest candidates for symmetric geometries are homogeneous models $(G \rightarrow G/P, \omega_G)$. It is well known that all automorphisms of (connected components of) homogeneous models are exactly left multiplications by elements of G , see [18, 7]. Transitivity of the action of G on G/P says that to decide whether the homogeneous model is symmetric, it suffices to find an element of G giving a symmetry at the origin. We have the following statement, see [20]:

Proposition. *All symmetries of the homogeneous model centered at the origin are parametrized by elements $g_0 \exp Z \in P$, where $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ is arbitrary and $g_0 \in G_0$ is such that $\text{Ad}_{g_0} = -\text{id}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$.*

Because we work with effective geometries, there is at most one element $g_0 \in G_0$ with the above property and it is usually a simple exercise to find it. If the element exists, then the homogeneous model is symmetric and there is an infinite amount of symmetries at each point. If there is no such element, then no Cartan geometry of the same type is symmetric. As an example, we show here the projective case. Analogous computations showing that the homogeneous models of many types of $|1|$ -graded geometries are symmetric can be found in [22].

Example. A homogeneous model of a non-oriented projective geometry. Consider $G = \text{PGL}(m+1, \mathbb{R})$, the quotient of $\text{GL}(m+1, \mathbb{R})$ by the subgroup of all multiples of the identity. This group acts on the space of lines passing through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ and as P we take the stabilizer of the line generated by the first standard basis vector. Clearly $G/P \simeq \mathbb{R}P^m$. With this choice, $(G \rightarrow G/P, \omega_G)$ is the homogeneous model of an effective $|1|$ -graded geometry. The elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m+1, \mathbb{R})$ are of the block form $\begin{pmatrix} -\text{tr}(A) & Z \\ X & A \end{pmatrix}$ with blocks of sizes 1 and m , where $X \in \mathbb{R}^m \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m*} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the block-diagonal part which is determined by $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{R})$. The subgroup G_0 is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ because each class in G_0 has exactly one representative of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$.

For each $a \in G_0$ represented by some $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ and for $V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, the adjoint action $\text{Ad}_a V$ is given by $X \mapsto BX$ and we look for elements $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ such that $BX = -X$ for each X . It is easy to see that we may represent the only prospective solution by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -E \end{pmatrix}$. This element represents a class in G_0 and yields a symmetry. All elements giving some symmetry at the origin are then represented by matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & W \\ 0 & -E \end{pmatrix}$ for all $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m*}$. Thus homogeneous models of non-oriented projective geometries are symmetric.

2.3. Local properties of symmetries. Let us mention here that many properties of symmetries on $|1|$ -graded geometries are similar to the classical symmetries. First recall that an invariant tensor field of odd degree which is invariant with respect to a symmetry at $x \in M$ vanishes at x , see [20]. This applies directly to the Cartan torsion, which is an invariant tensor of degree three. Thus symmetric $|1|$ -graded geometries are torsion-free. Then, in particular, Weyl connections are torsion-free.

Let s_x be a symmetry at x . Denote φ its covering and φ_0 the corresponding underlying morphism. An arbitrary Weyl structure σ satisfies $\varphi(\sigma(u_0)) = \sigma(\varphi_0(u_0)) \cdot \exp F(u_0)$ for a suitable G_0 -equivariant function $F : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$. In fact,

there exists a Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \varphi(\hat{\sigma}(u_0)) = \hat{\sigma}(\varphi_0(u_0))$$

holds in the fiber over x . In the fiber over x , $\hat{\sigma}$ is given uniquely (although there can exist various Weyl structures with this property). Moreover, there is at least one Weyl structure satisfying (1) over some neighborhood of x . This property has the normal Weyl structure σ_u given at $u = \hat{\sigma}(u_0)$, $u_0 \in p_0^{-1}(x)$ for an arbitrary $\hat{\sigma}$ satisfying (1) in the fiber over x . See [22, 23] for proofs. We will also return to this fact in more detail in 4.2.

These observations allow us to use the following terminology: For each symmetry s_x at x on an effective $|1|$ -graded geometry and for its (uniquely given) covering φ , we call the latter Weyl structures *invariant with respect to s_x* or shortly *s_x -invariant* at the point x or on a neighborhood of x , respectively. In these terms, the above facts can be formulated as follows (see [23]):

Proposition. *Let s_x be a symmetry at x on a $|1|$ -graded geometry. There exist Weyl structures which are invariant with respect to s_x in the fiber over x and all of them coincide in the fiber over x . Moreover, at least one of them is invariant with respect to s_x over some neighborhood of x .*

Suppose there is a symmetry s_x at x on a $|1|$ -graded geometry. Consider a Weyl connection ∇ corresponding to a Weyl structure which is invariant on some neighborhood of x and denote T, R, W , and P its torsion, curvature, Weyl curvature and Rho-tensor, respectively. Because the Cartan torsion vanishes at x , we have $T = 0$ at x . Then W is an invariant tensor (of degree four) at x and consequently, ∇W is an invariant tensor of degree five and thus $\nabla W = 0$ at x . Because ∇ is invariant locally around x (and not only at the point x), P is invariant at x . The formula $W = R + \partial P$ then gives that R has to be an invariant of degree four and consequently $\nabla R = 0$ at x .

Corollary. *Suppose there is a symmetry s_x centered at x on a $|1|$ -graded geometry. On a neighborhood of x , there exists a Weyl connection ∇ invariant under s_x . In particular, $T = 0$ and $\nabla R = 0$ at x .*

In the other words, each symmetry is (locally) an affine symmetry for some admissible affine connection, e.g. the Weyl connection corresponding to the invariant normal Weyl structure. For this normal connection, the symmetry is easily understandable: It is simply reverting of geodesics of the invariant normal connection (which are also generalized geodesics of the Cartan connection). See [9] and [23] for details. Contrary to the affine case, we know nothing about an invariance of possible invariant Weyl structures with respect to various symmetries at different points.

2.4. Non-flat symmetric geometries. As we have seen in 2.2, there can exist many different symmetries at one point. But the existence of a non-zero curvature gives quite strong restrictions on the number of different symmetries at one point. Let us summarize here shortly restrictions given on the number of possible symmetries for non-flat geometries. See [20, 23] for all details.

Let us remind firstly, that it is not difficult to find all $|1|$ -graded geometries (with simple Lie group G). It corresponds to the well known classification of semisimple Lie algebras and their parabolic subalgebras, see [19, 7]. See also [6, 22] for the list of them. In fact, using the classification it can be proved that most types of normal $|1|$ -graded geometries have to be locally isomorphic to the homogeneous model, if they are symmetric, see [20]. (Here the normality is only some technical restriction which plays no role, if we understand symmetries as morphisms of the underlying G -structure.)

Only a few types of $|1|$ -graded geometries can carry a symmetry at the point with a non-zero curvature. We summarize the list of them with corresponding restrictions in the following statement, see [23, 21] for proofs.

Proposition. (1) *There can exist at most one symmetry at the point with a non-zero curvature on projective geometries, almost quaternionic geometries and conformal geometries of positive definite or negative definite signature.*

(2) *Suppose there are two different symmetries with the center at x on an indefinite conformal geometry or on the almost Grassmannian geometry of type $(2, m)$ or $(m, 2)$, respectively, and denote σ_1, σ_2 their invariant Weyl structures. Suppose that the function Υ given by $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \cdot \exp \Upsilon$ has a non-zero length or a maximal rank at x , respectively. Then the Cartan curvature vanishes at x .*

It is not surprising that these are exactly the best known types of $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries. From now, we discuss mainly these examples because they are also the most interesting ones from our point of view.

Let us return briefly to the condition in the part (2) of the Proposition. First, the function Υ is correctly defined at the point x , because all invariant Weyl structures of an arbitrary fixed symmetry coincide at x . Moreover, it is not difficult to see that different symmetries have different invariant Weyl structures. Clearly, each symmetry determines uniquely the invariant normal Weyl structure. If these Weyl structures were equal for two symmetries, then the two symmetries would be equal as geodesical symmetries, i.e. they would give the same reverting of geodesics of the invariant normal Weyl connection. One can also see that the result does not depend on the order of the two symmetries. Thus we get that there could exist more symmetries at one point, but the non-zero difference Υ of each two of them is degenerate in some sense.

3. SYSTEMS OF SYMMETRIES

In this section, we start the discussion of systems of symmetries on $|1|$ -graded geometries. In the view of the known fact that each affine symmetric space is homogeneous and its system of symmetries is smooth, we concentrate first on smooth systems of symmetries on an arbitrary $|1|$ -graded geometry.

3.1. Smooth systems of symmetries. One of the usual definitions says that a homogeneous space is a manifold M with transitive action of a Lie group H . Suppose there is a parabolic geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ defined on this M . We call it *homogeneous parabolic geometry*, if H acts transitively by automorphisms of the parabolic geometry. More precisely, we require that each element of H (viewed as an automorphism of M) has covering in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$. In fact, it is irrelevant whether we understand the Lie group H as a subgroup of automorphisms of M or subgroup of their coverings. We will not distinguish between them and we will simply write $H \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$.

Let us now discuss smooth systems of symmetries on an arbitrary $|1|$ -graded geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$, i.e. suppose we have a symmetry s_x fixed at each x such that the map $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$ given by $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ is smooth.

Proposition. *Let $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ be a symmetric $|1|$ -graded geometry with M connected and suppose that the system of symmetries $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $(x, y) \mapsto s_x(y)$ is smooth. Then the geometry is homogeneous. More precisely, a subgroup $H \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ containing all symmetries acts transitively on M .*

Proof. Choose an arbitrary $x_0 \in M$ and discuss the map $f : M \rightarrow M$, $x \mapsto s_x(x_0)$. The map f is smooth and preserves x_0 because $f(x_0) = s_{x_0}(x_0) = x_0$. Thus we can compute $T_{x_0}f : T_{x_0}M \rightarrow T_{x_0}M$. Take a curve $c : I \rightarrow M$, $0 \mapsto x_0$ representing

some $\xi(x_0) \in T_{x_0}M$ as $\xi(x_0) = \frac{d}{dt}|_0 c = c'(0)$. Then the curve $I \rightarrow M$, $t \mapsto s_{c(t)}(x_0)$ represents $Tf.\xi(x_0)$ as $\frac{d}{dt}|_0 s_{c(t)}(x_0)$. We compute $\frac{d}{dt}|_0 s_{c(t)}(x_0)$ using the obvious identity $s_{c(t)}(c(t)) = c(t)$. Differentiating at $t = 0$ gives that

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_0 s_{c(t)}(c(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}|_0 s_{c(t)}(x_0) + T_{x_0} s_{x_0}.c'(0) = \frac{d}{dt}|_0 s_{c(t)}(x_0) - c'(0)$$

equals to $c'(0)$ and we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_0 s_{c(t)}(x_0) = 2 \cdot c'(0).$$

Thus $T_{x_0}f = 2 \cdot \text{id}$ and f is locally invertible in some neighborhood of x_0 . This shows that the orbit N of x_0 is open (with respect to symmetries and thus under the action of the automorphism group). The complement of N is also open because it is a union of orbits of points from $M \setminus N$ and these orbits are open from the same reason. Thus N is simultaneously closed and we get $M = N$ from the connectedness of M . All together, $H \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ containing symmetries acts transitively and thus $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ is a homogeneous $|1|$ -graded geometry. $\square$

Thus in the case of a smooth system of symmetries on $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$, we can write $M = H/K$ for suitable Lie groups H and K . More precisely, H is as above, $K \subset H$ is a stabilizer of the point $x_0 \in M$ and the isomorphism is given by the mapping $H \rightarrow M$, $h \mapsto h(x_0)$ which factorizes correctly to H/K because H acts transitively on M . The Proposition also says that if a symmetric $|1|$ -graded geometry is not homogeneous, then there is no smooth system of symmetries given on the geometry.

3.2. A non-trivial example. Contrary to the affine case, there exist symmetric spaces which are not homogeneous and thus the above observations are not trivial. An idea of a construction of such projectively symmetric examples was given in [17] and we sketch the example in the language of parabolic geometries.

Example. A non-homogeneous projectively symmetric space. We start with the homogeneous model for projective structures, see Example 2.2. Thus take $(G \rightarrow G/P, \omega_G)$ where $G = PGL(m+1, \mathbb{R})$ and if we denote $e_1, \dots, e_{m+1}$ the standard basis, then P is the stabilizer of the line generated by e_1 . Clearly, the automorphism group G acts transitively on $G/P \simeq \mathbb{R}P^m$. Let us define a new manifold M such that we remove two points from the model $G/P \simeq \mathbb{R}P^m$, e.g. points given by the last two basis vectors e_m and e_{m+1} . One can easily reduce the projective structure from G/P to M and we get a $|1|$ -graded geometry on M .

First, we show that the automorphism group does not act transitively on M . One can easily describe this group: Its elements correspond to those automorphisms from G which maps M to M , i.e. which either preserve the points of G/P given by e_m and e_{m+1} or which swap these two points. We can represent them by matrices from G with last two columns of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}^T$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & * & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T$. Exactly these automorphisms of the homogeneous model can be correctly restricted to automorphisms of M . Choose an arbitrary point of M which lies on the projective line (on G/P) generated by points given by e_m and e_{m+1} . It is represented by a vector $w = (0 \dots 0 \ x_m \ x_{m+1})^T$ where x_m and x_{m+1} are both nonzero. (If one of them is zero, then we have exactly some removed point.) One can see from the matrices that there is no automorphism mapping w out of the line.

Second, let us show that M is symmetric. There are many symmetries at the origin $o \in M \subset G/P$. We know that all symmetries of the homogeneous model at o are given by elements of the form $s_o = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & W \\ 0 & -E \end{pmatrix}$, see Example 2.2. To get a correctly defined symmetry on M , it suffices to take an arbitrary element with last two coordinates of W vanishing. Denote an arbitrary such element by s . Clearly, s preserves the removed points. Now it is easy to get a symmetry at each x which

does not lie on the projective line described before. Such x is represented by some $v = (x_1 \dots x_{m-1} x_m x_{m+1})^T$ where at least one of $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}$ is non-zero. Then elementary linear algebra says that we can find $g \in G$, $g \cdot e_1 = v$ such that g preserves the removed points. We take a symmetry at $x = gP$ of the form $s_x = gsg^{-1}$.

Points x on the line, represented by some vectors $w = (0 \dots 0 x_m x_{m+1})$ as above, are more complicated. There are $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot e_1 = w$, but non of them preserves or swaps e_m and e_{m+1} . Thus take an arbitrary fixed such g , compute expression $gs_o g^{-1}$ (here $s_o = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & W \\ 0 & -E \end{pmatrix}$ as above) and analyze the result depending on W . For example, choose g such that $e_1 \mapsto w$, $e_2 \mapsto e_2, \dots, e_m \mapsto e_m, e_{m+1} \mapsto e_1$. If we compute $gs_o g^{-1}$ (in the standard basis), its last two columns are of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & x_m v_m - 1 & x_{m+1} v_m \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{x_m}{x_{m+1}}(x_m v_m + 2) & 1 - x_m v_m \end{pmatrix}^T$, where we denote $W = (v_2 \dots v_{m+1})$. We see from the matrix that for $v_m = \frac{1}{x_m}$, the composition is a symmetry which swaps the removed points and no symmetry preserves them.

Consequently, there is no smooth system of symmetries on the geometry, although there can exist many symmetries at each point. Let us remark, that the latter space is locally isomorphic to the homogeneous model, so there is no contradiction to Proposition 2.4.

3.3. Homogeneous parabolic geometries. First note that if $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ is homogeneous, then $M = H/K$ for suitable $H \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ acting transitively on M and $K \subset H$ is a stabilizer of a point $x_0 \in M$. There exists a structure of a smooth manifold on M such that the canonical projection $q : H \rightarrow H/K$ is a surjective submersion, see [14]. We can prove a partial converses to the Proposition 3.1.

Proposition. *Let $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ be a $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometry and suppose that $H \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ acts transitively on M . Suppose further that for some $x_0 \in M$ there is a symmetry s_{x_0} such that $k \circ s_{x_0} \circ k^{-1} = s_{x_0}$ for all $k \in H$ such that $k(x_0) = x_0$. Then there exists a smooth system of symmetries S .*

Proof. Let s_{x_0} be the symmetry at $x_0 \in M$. Define a map $f : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ by $f(h) = h \circ s_{x_0} \circ h^{-1}$. The map f is correctly defined and smooth because it is simply multiplication of three elements from a Lie group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$.

We show that f factorizes correctly to the mapping $g : H/K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ via the surjective submersion $q : H \rightarrow H/K$, where $K \subset H$ consists of elements which preserve $x_0 \in M$. For $h \in H$ we have

$$g(hK) = f(h) = h \circ s_{x_0} \circ h^{-1}.$$

If we change a representative of the class hK , i.e. we take $h \circ k$ for some $k \in K$, we get

$$g(hkK) = f(h \circ k) = h \circ k \circ s_{x_0} \circ k^{-1} \circ h^{-1}.$$

The assumption $k \circ s_{x_0} \circ k^{-1} = s_{x_0}$ gives

$$h \circ k \circ s_{x_0} \circ k^{-1} \circ h^{-1} = h \circ s_{x_0} \circ h^{-1}$$

and the factorization $f = g \circ q$ is correctly defined. The universal property of the surjective submersion q says that the map $g : H/K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}, \omega)$ is smooth.

Transitivity of the action H on M says that $M \simeq H/K$. Thus we have defined correctly a symmetry s_x at each $x \in M$ such that $s_x = h \circ s_{x_0} \circ h^{-1}$ for $x = h(x_0)$ and such that the mapping $x \mapsto s_x$ is smooth. Equivalently, $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $(x, y) \mapsto s_x(y)$ is a smooth system of symmetries. $\square$

In particular, if there is exactly one symmetry s_x at each x , then $s_x = h \circ s_{x_0} \circ h^{-1}$ is clearly satisfied for each $x = h(x_0)$ and we have the following fact:

Corollary. *Suppose there exists exactly one symmetry at each point on a homogeneous $|1|$ -graded geometry. Then the system of symmetries is smooth.*

4. THE INVARIANT CONNECTION

In this section, we continue in the discussion of systems of symmetries on arbitrary $|1|$ -graded geometries. Motivated by [17], we show here that under quite weak conditions on the system, there exist an admissible affine connection, which is invariant with respect to all symmetries from the system.

4.1. Fiberwise invariant Weyl structures. In Section 2, we discussed each symmetry on a $|1|$ -graded geometry separately. We know that for each s_x , there exist Weyl structures which are invariant with respect to s_x in the fiber over x and that some of them are invariant on some neighborhood of x , see also [23]. Suppose there is a system of symmetries $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ defined on a $|1|$ -graded geometry. There are natural questions whether some of the Weyl structures are invariant with respect to all symmetries from the system S and how many such Weyl structures can exist? We start the discussion with the following observation:

Lemma. *Let $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ be an arbitrary system of symmetries on a $|1|$ -graded geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$. There can exist at most one Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ which is invariant with respect to all symmetries from S .*

Proof. If there exists a Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ which is invariant with respect to all symmetries from the system S , then, in particular, $\hat{\sigma}$ is invariant with respect to s_x in the fiber over x . This holds for each $x \in M$ and we use this fact to construct the only possible candidate for an invariant Weyl structure.

Denote by σ_x an arbitrary fixed s_x -invariant Weyl structure at x . Define a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma} : \mathcal{G}_0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{G} \\ u_0 &\mapsto \sigma_x(u_0) \quad \text{for } p_0(u_0) = x. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we glue together the invariant Weyl structures in appropriate fibers. Because all s_x -invariant Weyl structures coincide in the fiber over x , the definition of the mapping $\hat{\sigma}$ is correct. All σ_x are G_0 -equivariant and thus $\hat{\sigma}$ is a G_0 -equivariant mapping $\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. If this mapping is smooth, then it is a Weyl structure. It is clear from the construction that $\hat{\sigma}$ is the only possible candidate for an invariant Weyl structure. $\square$

It is not necessarily true in general that the mapping $\hat{\sigma}$ constructed in the Lemma for some system S is smooth. For instance, the geometry from Example 3.2 cannot carry a system of symmetries with corresponding $\hat{\sigma}$ smooth although there exist many symmetries at each point and thus for any system of symmetries, there is no invariant Weyl structure. Conversely, $\hat{\sigma}$ is smooth and thus a Weyl structure for each smooth system S .

Definition. If the mapping $\hat{\sigma} : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induced by the system S as above is smooth, we call the section $\hat{\sigma}$ the *fiberwise invariant Weyl structure* of the system S . In this case, we say that the system S admits fiberwise invariant Weyl structure.

The motivation for the name is clear. Such a Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ still need not to be invariant. But for all $x \in M$, it is invariant with respect to s_x in the fiber over x (which is a much weaker property). However, it is still quite interesting object. For instance, there is the following statement:

Proposition. *Suppose that the system S of symmetries admits fiberwise invariant Weyl structure on a $|1|$ -graded geometry. Then the corresponding Weyl connection ∇ satisfies $T = 0$ and $\nabla W = 0$.*

Proof. Because our geometry is symmetric, the Cartan torsion vanishes and thus each Weyl connection is torsion-free. Then the Weyl curvature W is an invariant of the geometry. For each x , the fiberwise invariant Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ is s_x -invariant in the fiber over x . Then for the corresponding Weyl connection we have that ∇W is an invariant tensor of degree five at each $x \in M$ and thus $\nabla W = 0$. $\square$

Let us remark that this does not imply, that the curvature R of ∇ is invariant and covariantly constant. The difference between invariance at the point and invariance on the neighborhood is crucial here. One can see from the formula for the change of Rho-tensor (see 2.3) that it depends on the derivative of the change. Invariance at the point is not sufficient and fiberwise invariant Weyl structure is too weak.

4.2. Invariant Weyl structures. For a suitable system of symmetries, the fiberwise invariant Weyl structure is the only Weyl structure which could be invariant with respect to all symmetries. We would like to know when this Weyl structure really is invariant.

Proposition. *Let $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ be a $|1|$ -graded geometry and let $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ be a system of symmetries. There exists a Weyl structure which is invariant with respect to all symmetries from S if and only if*

- (i) *the system S admits fiberwise invariant Weyl structure,*
- (ii) *$s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x = s_{s_x(y)}$ holds for each $x, y \in M$.*

Proof. Let us start with some notation. For the system of symmetries $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$, there is the usual covering of the mapping S of the form $\varphi : M \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, i.e. $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is simply the covering of s_x . Clearly, there is also the corresponding underlying morphism $\varphi_0 : M \times \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$. For each $x \in M$, $u_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ and an arbitrary Weyl structure σ , we can write

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x, \sigma(u_0)) = \sigma(\varphi_0(x, u_0)) \cdot \exp F(x, u_0)$$

for a suitable function $F : M \times \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$. In this notation, the Weyl structure σ is invariant if and only if

$$\varphi(x, \sigma(u_0)) = \sigma(\varphi_0(x, u_0))$$

holds for each $x \in M$ and $u_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Let us remark, that the fiberwise invariant Weyl structure in general satisfies this equality only at the points $(p_0(u_0), u_0) \in M \times \mathcal{G}_0$.

Suppose that the Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ is invariant with respect to S . Then $\hat{\sigma}$ is clearly fiberwise invariant Weyl structure for the system S and we get (i). Now, for (coverings of) s_x and $s_y \circ s_x$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \hat{\sigma}(u_0)) &= \hat{\sigma}(\varphi_0(x, u_0)), \\ \varphi(y, \varphi(x, \hat{\sigma}(u_0))) &= \varphi(y, \hat{\sigma}(\varphi_0(x, u_0))) = \hat{\sigma}(\varphi_0(y, \varphi_0(x, u_0))) \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the invariance of $\hat{\sigma}$ with respect to $s_x, s_y \in S$. Consequently, for (the covering of) $s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x$ we get

$$\hat{\sigma}(x, \varphi(y, \varphi(x, \hat{\sigma}(u_0)))) = \varphi(x, \hat{\sigma}(\varphi_0(y, \varphi_0(x, u_0)))) = \hat{\sigma}(\varphi_0(x, \varphi_0(y, \varphi_0(p, u_0))))$$

for each $u_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ and $x, y \in M$. In other words, the Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ is invariant with respect to the composition $s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x$. Let us shortly verify here, that the mapping $s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x$ satisfies conditions of a symmetry: Clearly, $(s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x)(s_x(y)) = s_x(y)$ from the involutivity of s_x and the definition of s_y . Thus $s_x(y)$ is its fixed

point. Then for $\xi(s_x(y)) \in T_{s_x(y)}M$ we have that $T(s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x) \cdot \xi(s_x(y))$ is equal to

$$T_y s_x \cdot T_y s_y \cdot T_{s_x(y)} s_x \cdot \xi(s_x(y)) = T_y s_x \cdot (-T_{s_x(y)} s_x \cdot \xi(s_x(y))) = -\xi(s_x(y)).$$

Thus the composition is some symmetry at the point $s_x(y)$ and we know that the Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ is invariant with respect to this symmetry. But $\hat{\sigma}$ is also invariant with respect to the symmetry $s_{s_x(y)}$ from the system S . If two symmetries share the same invariant Weyl structure, they are equal, see 2.4, and we get $s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x = s_{s_x(y)}$ for each $x, y \in M$ which is (ii).

Conversely, we show that conditions (i) and (ii) imply the existence of an invariant Weyl structure or, equivalently, that under the condition (ii), the fiberwise invariant Weyl structure given by S is really invariant with respect to S .

Let σ be an arbitrary fixed Weyl structure. First, we find a useful condition on Υ such that $\hat{\sigma}(u_0) = \sigma(u_0) \cdot \exp \Upsilon(u_0)$ is the invariant Weyl structure. Substitute the formula for the change of Weyl structures into the expression (2). The left hand side is then equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \hat{\sigma}(u_0)) &= \varphi(x, \sigma(u_0)) \cdot \exp \Upsilon(u_0) \\ &= \sigma(\varphi_0(x, u_0)) \cdot \exp F(x, u_0) \cdot \exp \Upsilon(u_0) \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand side is of the form

$$\hat{\sigma}(\varphi_0(x, u_0)) = \sigma(\varphi_0(x, u_0)) \cdot \exp \Upsilon(\varphi_0(x, u_0)).$$

Comparing of these expressions gives the equation

$$(3) \quad F(x, u_0) = \Upsilon(\varphi_0(x, u_0)) - \Upsilon(u_0),$$

where the unknown quantity is the change Υ , while F is given by σ . Clearly, $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma \cdot \exp \Upsilon$ is invariant if and only if Υ solves (3) on all of $M \times \mathcal{G}_0$. Let us remark, that $\hat{\sigma}$ is fiberwise invariant if and only if Υ satisfies the equation only on the points of the form $(p_0(u_0), u_0) \in M \times \mathcal{G}_0$.

Let us discuss the equation (3) in more detail. It is not difficult to find Υ such that $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma \cdot \exp \Upsilon$ is fiberwise invariant. We verify that it is of the form

$$(4) \quad \Upsilon(u_0) := -\frac{1}{2}F(p_0(u_0), u_0).$$

Actually, for pairs $(p_0(u_0), u_0) \in M \times \mathcal{G}_0$ we have $\Upsilon(\varphi_0(p_0(u_0), u_0)) = -\Upsilon(u_0)$ because in the fiber over its center, the symmetry is only right multiplication by a suitable element acting as $-\text{id}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_1$, and the fact follows from the equivariancy.

Now we show that under the condition (ii), function Υ solves the equation on $M \times \mathcal{G}_0$ or, equivalently, the fiberwise invariant Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma \cdot \exp \Upsilon$ is invariant. We substitute (4) into the equation (3). The formula is then of the form

$$(5) \quad F(x, u_0) = -\frac{1}{2}F(p_0(\varphi_0(x, u_0)), \varphi_0(x, u_0)) + \frac{1}{2}F(p_0(u_0), u_0)$$

and this has to hold for each $x \in M$ and $u_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$. If we suppose $u_0 \in p_0^{-1}(y)$ for an arbitrary fixed $y \in M$, we simply want to verify that

$$(6) \quad F(x, u_0) = -\frac{1}{2}F(s_x(y), \varphi_0(x, u_0)) + \frac{1}{2}F(y, u_0).$$

Here we use the equation $s_x \circ s_y = s_{s_x(y)} \circ s_x$. We apply (the coverings of) the left and right hand side of this equation on σ . The left hand side is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \varphi(y, \sigma(u_0))) &= \varphi(x, \sigma(\varphi_0(y, u_0)) \cdot \exp F(y, u_0)) = \\ &= \varphi(x, \sigma(\varphi_0(y, u_0))) \cdot \exp F(y, u_0) = \\ &= \sigma(\varphi_0(x, \varphi_0(y, u_0))) \cdot \exp F(x, \varphi_0(y, u_0)) \cdot \exp F(y, u_0). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(s_x(y), \varphi(x, \sigma(u_0))) &= \varphi(s_x(y), \sigma(\varphi_0(x, u_0)) \cdot \exp F(x, u_0)) = \\ &= \varphi(s_x(y), \sigma(\varphi_0(x, u_0))) \cdot \exp F(x, u_0) = \\ &= \sigma(\varphi_0(s_x(y), \varphi_0(x, u_0))) \cdot \exp F(s_x(y), \varphi_0(x, u_0)) \cdot \exp F(x, u_0).\end{aligned}$$

Because we have an effective geometry, each morphism determines uniquely its covering. Thus the equality $s_x \circ s_y = s_{s_x(y)} \circ s_x$ implies the equality of the coverings

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x, \varphi(y, \sigma(u_0))) &= \varphi(s_x(y), \varphi(x, \sigma(u_0))), \\ \varphi_0(x, \varphi_0(y, u_0)) &= \varphi_0(s_x(y), \varphi_0(x, u_0)).\end{aligned}$$

Comparing of the exp parts then gives

$$F(x, \varphi_0(y, u_0)) + F(y, u_0) = F(s_x(y), \varphi_0(x, u_0)) + F(x, u_0).$$

Moreover, the first term on the left hand side can be rewritten as $F(x, \varphi_0(y, u_0)) = -F(x, u_0)$ because $u_0 \in p_0^{-1}(y)$ and $\varphi_0(y, u_0)$ is simply the covering of the symmetry at y applied on a point from the fiber over y . We get

$$-F(x, u_0) + F(y, u_0) = F(s_x(y), \varphi_0(x, u_0)) + F(x, u_0)$$

and some arrangements give

$$\frac{1}{2}F(y, u_0) - \frac{1}{2}F(s_x(y), \varphi_0(x, u_0)) = F(x, u_0),$$

which is exactly (6). Thus we have verified that (4) solves (3) and thus $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma \cdot \exp \Upsilon$ is the invariant Weyl structure. The uniqueness of the fiberwise invariant Weyl structure implies that this does not depend on the choice of σ we started with. $\square$

4.3. Invariant connections. Let us formulate now the main result on symmetric $|1|$ -graded geometries:

Theorem. *Let $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ be a $|1|$ -graded geometry and let $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ be a system of symmetries such that*

- (i) *S admits fiberwise invariant Weyl structure,*
- (ii) *$s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x = s_{s_x(y)}$ holds for each $x, y \in M$.*

Then there is an admissible affine connection ∇ which is invariant with respect to all symmetries from the system S . In particular, (M, ∇) is an affine symmetric space.

Proof. Everything follows from the Proposition 4.2. Under the assumptions, there is a Weyl structure $\hat{\sigma}$ invariant with respect to all symmetries. Then the corresponding Weyl connection ∇ is invariant with respect to all symmetries (and clearly respects the geometrical structure). Then the pair (M, ∇) is an affine symmetric space for the symmetries from the system S . $\square$

Let us point out here that for a system S , the invariant connection ∇ is given uniquely. This follows directly from the uniqueness of the (fiberwise) invariant Weyl structure. In fact, the question of the uniqueness of the mapping $\hat{\sigma}$ constructed in Lemma 4.1 is independent from the question on its smoothness. Such a mapping $\hat{\sigma} : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is always given uniquely, but if it is not smooth, then it does not define a connection.

Let us also remark that the Theorem in particular implies that the system S satisfying both conditions is smooth.

4.4. Smooth systems and homogeneity. Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 in particular apply in the case of a smooth system S on a $|1|$ -graded geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$. Such a system clearly admits fiberwise invariant Weyl structure and thus the condition (i) is automatically satisfied. Then M has to be homogeneous, see 3.1. We have the following trivial observation:

Corollary. *If a $|1|$ -graded geometry $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ carries a smooth system of symmetries S such that $s_x \circ s_y \circ s_x = s_{s_x(y)}$ holds for each $x, y \in M$, then (M, S) form an affine symmetric space.*

But Theorem 4.3 says that weaker conditions are sufficient to get an affine symmetric space. Let $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ be a $|1|$ -graded geometry with a system $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ as in Theorem 4.3, i.e. there is a Weyl connection ∇ such that (M, ∇) is an affine symmetric (for the system S). Then, in particular, M is homogeneous because the group of affine transformations of ∇ (which involves all symmetries from S) acts transitively on M . Because all symmetries are morphisms of the $|1|$ -graded geometry, $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ has to form a homogeneous $|1|$ -graded geometry in the sense of Section 3.

Let us finally remark that one can see e.g. [24] and references therein for an explicit description of such an example. We discuss there a Grassmannian symmetric geometry as a space of chains.

4.5. Uniqueness of symmetries. Proposition 2.4 says that many of the interesting geometries allow at most one symmetry at a point with a non-zero curvature. For these geometries, the condition (ii) in Theorem 4.3 is trivially satisfied and we have the following consequence:

Corollary. *Let $S : M \times M \rightarrow M$, $S(x, y) = s_x(y)$ be a system of symmetries on a non-flat geometry of projective type, almost quaternionic type or conformal type with positive or negative definite signature such that S admits fiberwise invariant Weyl structure. Then there is an admissible affine connection ∇ such that (M, ∇) is an affine symmetric space. In particular, homogeneous non-flat symmetric geometries of the latter types are affine symmetric spaces.*

Except for some strange examples, the description of the latter types reduces to the classical case. Otherwise, if the system of symmetries is sufficiently nice i.e. admits fiberwise invariant Weyl structure, than we get an affine symmetric space and the previous ideas says that there are no other more interesting examples (with smooth system of symmetries). Moreover, there are exceptions with non-smooth systems of symmetries as in 3.2. (See also [17]).

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Cahen, L.J. Schwachhöfer, Special Symplectic Connection, ESI Preprint 1475
- [2] A. Čap, Two constructions with parabolic geometries, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) Suppl. No. 79 (2006), 11–37
- [3] A. Čap, R. Gover, Tractor Bundles for Irreducible Parabolic Geometries, SMF, Séminaires et Congrès, n.4, (2000), 129–154.
- [4] A. Čap, R. Gover, Tractor Calculi for Parabolic Geometries, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), 1511–1548.
- [5] A. Čap, H. Schichl, Parabolic geometries and canonical Cartan connection, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 29 (2000), 453–505
- [6] A. Čap, J. Slovák, Weyl Structures for Parabolic Geometries, *Math. Scand.* 93 (2003), 53–90
- [7] A. Čap, J. Slovák, Parabolic Geometries I: Background and General Theory, to appear in *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, AMS 2009
- [8] A. Čap, J. Slovák, V. Souček, Invariant Operators on Manifolds with Almost Hermitian Symmetric Structures, II. Normal Cartan Connections, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.* 66 no. 2 (1997) 203–220

- [9] A. Čap, J. Slovák, V. Žádník, On Distinguished Curves in Parabolic Geometries, *Transform. Groups* 9, no. 2 (2004), 143–166
- [10] A. Čap, V. Žádník, On the geometry of chains, to appear in *J. Differential Geom.*
- [11] M. Hammerl, Homogeneous Cartan geometries, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 43 (2007), suppl., p. 431–442
- [12] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric spaces*, Pure and Appl. Math., Academic Press, New York-San Francisco-London, 1978
- [13] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol II, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1969
- [14] I. Kolář, P.W. Michor, J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1993, 434pp.
- [15] O. Kowalski, *Generalized Symmetric Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 805, Springer-Verlag, 1980
- [16] O. Loos, *Symmetric spaces I: General Theory*, Math Lecture Note series, W.A. Benjamin, Inc. New York-Amsterdam, 1969
- [17] F. Podesta, A Class of Symmetric Spaces, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 117, no. 3 (1989), 343–360
- [18] R. W. Sharpe, *Differential geometry: Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 166, Springer-Verlag, 1997
- [19] K. Yamaguchi, Differential systems associated with simple graded Lie algebras, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* 22 (1993), 413–494.
- [20] L. Zalabová, Remarks on Symmetries of Parabolic Geometries, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 42 (2006), suppl., 357–368
- [21] L. Zalabová, Symmetries of almost Grassmannian geometries, *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, Proceedings of 10th international conference, Olomouc, 2007, 371–381
- [22] L. Zalabová, Symmetries of Parabolic Geometries, Ph.D. thesis, Masaryk University, 2007
- [23] L. Zalabová, Symmetries of Parabolic Geometries, to appear in *Differential geometry and its Application* (Elsevier), doi:10.1016/j.difgeo.2009.03.001
- [24] L. Zalabová, V. Žádník, Remarks on Grassmannian symmetric spaces, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 44 (2008), suppl., 569–585

EDUARD ČECH CENTER FOR ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KOTLÁŘSKÁ 2, 611 37 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: zalabova@math.muni.cz